

## **Exhibit 254**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 DAVID TANGIPA; ERIC CHING;  
5 SAUL AYON; PETER HERNANDEZ;  
6 ROXANNE HOGE; JOEL GUITERREZ  
7 CAMPOS; SOLOMON VERDUZCO;  
8 PAUL RAMIREZ; JANE ORTIZ-  
9 WILSON; VERNON COSTA; RACHEL  
10 GUNTHER; DOUG BUCHANAN;  
11 SAYRS MORRIS; MIKE NETTER;  
12 CHRISTINA RAUGHTON; KRISTI  
13 HAYS; JAMES REID; MICHAEL  
14 TARDIF; ALEX GALICIA; and  
15 CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official  
19 capacity as the Governor of California;  
20 SHIRLEY WEBER, in her official  
21 capacity as California Secretary of State,

22 Defendants.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No: 2:25-cv-09149

**DECLARATION OF JULIE MERZ  
IN SUPPORT OF DCCC'S MOTION  
TO INTERVENE**

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX254**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify, and have personal  
3 knowledge of the facts and information set forth in this declaration.

4 2. I am the Executive Director of DCCC, a position I have held since January  
5 2023. In that capacity, I am responsible for overseeing DCCC's strategy and operations.

6 3. DCCC is the national congressional campaign committee for the  
7 Democratic Party. DCCC's mission is to elect Democratic candidates to the U.S. House  
8 of Representatives. As part of that mission, DCCC works to recruit Democratic  
9 candidates, raise funds, and help Democratic candidates win races in congressional  
10 districts throughout the country, including in congressional districts in California.

11 4. As the national party committee dedicated to electing Democrats to the  
12 House of Representatives, and ultimately obtaining a Democratic majority in the House,  
13 DCCC has a stark interest in increasing the likelihood that Democratic congressional  
14 candidates win elections. DCCC therefore cares deeply about redistricting around the  
15 country, because redistricting efforts can significantly affect the electoral prospects of  
16 Democratic congressional candidates and the rights of Democratic voters.

17 5. I have reviewed the Complaint filed in this case. I understand that Plaintiffs  
18 have alleged that DCCC "paid Redistricting Partners to draw the map" set out in  
19 Proposition 50.<sup>1</sup> That is not correct. DCCC learned about Mr. Mitchell and Redistricting  
20 Partners' map-drawing effort only after an initial map was drawn. DCCC proposed  
21 certain revisions to the initial map to increase Democratic performance in various  
22 districts, then decided to pay Redistricting Partners to obtain a copy of a revised map,  
23 and then submitted the revised map to the Committees through the public input process.

24 6. It is my understanding that the California Legislature decided to incorporate  
25 that map, in whole or in part, into California Proposition 50, which appeared on the  
26

---

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Compl. at 11 § E.

1 California special election ballot in the November 2025 election. On November 4,  
2 California voters overwhelmingly approved Proposition 50.

3 7. The next day, DCCC Chair Representative Suzan DelBene released a  
4 statement concerning Proposition 50. The statement read, in relevant part: “We applaud  
5 California’s leaders for giving voters the opportunity to elect a Congress next year that  
6 will work for the people, not the wealthiest few, and level the playing field in the face of  
7 corrupt Republican gerrymandering efforts across the country. The path to the  
8 Democratic majority includes flipping critical seats throughout California, and  
9 Democrats are on offense to hold newly vulnerable Republicans accountable.”<sup>2</sup>

10 8. I understand that Plaintiffs seek a court order declaring that the map set out  
11 in Proposition 50 is unlawful and an order enjoining implementation of the Proposition  
12 50 map.

13 9. DCCC has an interest in the validity of the congressional map approved by  
14 the voters in Proposition 50 because the map directly affects the electoral prospects of  
15 Democratic congressional candidates in California. It further impacts the ability of the  
16 Democratic Party to counteract the effects of hyper-partisan redistricting efforts that  
17 Texas and other Republican-led states have undertaken at the President’s command. The  
18 challenged map also could play a determinative role in whether the Democratic Party  
19 retakes control of the House of Representatives after the 2026 midterm elections—a core  
20 goal for DCCC.

21 10. DCCC also has an interest in the validity of the maps because DCCC  
22 submitted the map to the Committees that ultimately was adopted by the California  
23 Legislature and California voters. Relatedly, DCCC has a strong interest in responding  
24 to the Complaint’s wrongful allegations as to the auspices of the map and the map itself.

---

26 <sup>2</sup> “DCCC Chair Statement on Passage of Proposition 50 in California,” Democratic  
27 Congressional Campaign Committee, November 5, 2025, available at  
28 <https://dccc.org/dccc-chair-statement-on-passage-of-proposition-50-in-california/>.

11. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
Executed this 10th day of November, 2025.

*Julie Merz* \_\_\_\_\_

Julie Merz

Executive Director, DCCC

## **Exhibit 255**



To Whom It May Concern,

We are pleased to submit this proposed congressional map to the California legislature for their consideration. We strongly believe that this map serves the best interest of California voters, while also attempting to push back against the corrupt scheme occurring in Texas and other Republican-majority states where Republicans – doing the bidding of their DC party bosses – are considering adopting a clearly racially gerrymandered, partisan map at the expense of their voters.

Our proposed map was created using traditional redistricting criteria, consistent with guidelines laid out by the California's Citizen Redistricting Commission. It allows for more compact districts than in the current Commission-drawn map, keeps more communities and neighborhoods together, splits fewer cities, and makes minimal disruptions to the Commission-drawn map so as to impact as few residents as possible. This is a striking contrast from Texas' proposed gerrymander which redrew all but one of their 38 congressional districts to minimize the state's growing minority voting strength.

Democrats cannot sit idly by while Texas Republicans and their DC party bosses attempt to steal congressional seats and rig the election in their favor, well before any votes have been cast. Unlike what Texas Republicans are attempting to do in letting politicians choose their own voters, we believe that voters should be able to decide who represents them.

Sincerely,

Julie Merz  
Executive Director, DCCC

**Tangipa v. Newsom**  
**DX255**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 256**





**plramirez** @plramirez · Apr 4, 2022

We should thank **#ElonMusk** for his service to democracy. Let the countdown commence: 12, 11, 10, 9, 8... 🗣️



**Florida Chris** @chrislongview · 12h

i mean, if you get embarrassed on this app every day you might as well buy it

 **Guardian US** @GuardianUS · 13h

Elon Musk buys \$2.9bn stake in Twitter [theguardian.com/technology/202...](https://theguardian.com/technology/2022-04-04/elon-musk-buys-2-9bn-stake-in-twitter)



6



10



82



**Jon** @landbutcher · 12h


I'll quit this shit in 12 seconds if he gets operational influence.



4



6



52



1



1



Share



Tip

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX256**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX256-0001

## **Exhibit 257**



**plramirez** @plramirez · Apr 4, 2022



America could solve more problems with the electric chair than the electric vehicle.



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX257**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



Share



Tip

DX257-0001

**Exhibit 258 – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 259**

**MAGA Daddy** 

@plramirez

Page ID #:16261



Hey California! Remember to vote NO on 50! Also remember to register to vote and if already registered, verify that registration!

[lavote.gov/vrstatus/](https://lavote.gov/vrstatus/)

2:26 PM · Oct 20, 2025 · **887** Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX259**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



DX259-0001



## **Exhibit 260**

No on 50! We can do this California!

@Camille.Bethany



**THIS IS THE REAL REASON  
GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM  
WANTS TO REDISTRICT  
CALIFORNIA**

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX260**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

7:13 PM · Oct 29, 2025 · 1,203 Views



## **Exhibit 261**

NO ON 50!



2:50 PM · Oct 30, 2025 · 1,079 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX261**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 262A**

Would have been so awesome if this had dropped a month ago, but better late than never.

@MarkMeuser fyi.

**O'Keefe Media Group** @OKeefeMedia · Nov 5

Caught on Hidden Camera: CA Dem Party Insider Admits to Intentional Gerrymandering & Reveals a Strategy for Newsom to Funnel Prop 50 Donations Into a 2028 Presidential War Chest.

Ross Pike, Regional Director for the CA Democratic Party, details how Prop 5...  
[Show more](#)



5:10 PM · Nov 5, 2025 · 9,301 Views



14



74



205



13



DX262A-0001

**Exhibit 262B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 263A**



It's Thursday, November 6, 2 days after the passage of Prop 50.

@realDonaldTrump is still @POTUS.

**Tangipa v. Newsom**  
**DX263A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



**EP** @elpear444 · Nov 6

Replying to @RoxanneHoge @Cernovich and @Bob\_Hoge\_CA

I understand this. When trump is sending in his masked goons to beat the crap outta women & children he's such an enormous threat I'd vote for anything to stop him. Also Texas. Red states don't play fair so we can't either.

12:14 PM · Nov 6, 2025 · 1,014 Views



2



3



19



2

DX263A-0001

**Exhibit 263B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**



## **Exhibit 264**

Roxanne Hoge reposted

Bruce Lou @RealBruceLou · Nov 13

Now we see the true intent behind Prop 50. Corrupt politicians declaring their candidacies left and right for districts that were custom-drawn for them to win. Disenfranchising millions of people is only collateral damage for their opportunism.



**Mike McGuire** @ilike\_mike · Nov 13

Today, I'm launching my campaign for Congress to defeat Doug LaMalfa.

Flipping CA-01, bringing costs down, and stopping Donald Trump. That's going to take hard work....



50

210

594

20K

DX264-0001

## **Exhibit 265**



Roxanne Hoge reported

Mark Meuser

Breaking News

Document 189-2  
Page ID #:16275

Filed 12/19/25 Pa

The U.S. Department of Justice just filed a motion to Intervene in our lawsuit against the State of California over the Proposition 50's map. We have filed a motion for an injunction requesting that the Federal Courts stop California from using the Prop 50's

[Show more](#)

Case 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-KES Document 26 Filed 12/19/25 Page 1 of 3 Page ID  
#451

JESUS A. OSETE  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
MATTHEW ZANDI (CA No. 201329)  
Chief of Staff & Special Counsel  
MAUREEN RIORIO (NY No. 2058860)  
Acting Chief, Voting Section  
ANDREW BRANIFF (IN No. 23430-71)  
Acting Chief, Appellate Section  
DAVID GOLDMAN (VA No. 98972)  
ROSELYA R. ZUCKERMAN (DC No. 1724555)  
GRETA GESEKE (TX No. 24131925)  
Attorneys  
Civil Rights Division  
United States Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Telephone: (202) 514-3647  
E-Mail: matt.zandi@usdoj.gov

BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
First Assistant United States Attorney  
JULIE A. HAMILL (CA No. 272742)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-2464  
E-Mail: julie.hamill@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DAVID TANGIPA, et al.,  
Plaintiff,  
and  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff-Intervenor,  
v.  
GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official  
capacity as the Governor of California,  
et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-10616-JLS-KES  
Three-Judge Court

**UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF  
MOTION AND UNOPPOSED  
MOTION TO INTERVENE.**

Honorable Josephine L. Stinson  
United States District Judge  
Hearing Date: November 21, 2023  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Courtroom: 8A.

\* Assistant Attorney General Harriet K. Dutton is excused from this matter.

**Tangipa v. Newsom**

**DX265**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 266**



Jesus A. Osete

@JesusOseteDOJ

Page ID #:16277



Race cannot be used as a proxy to advance political interests, but that is precisely what the California General Assembly did with Prop 50.

Californians were sold an illegal, racially gerrymandered map, but the U.S. Constitution prohibits its use in 2026 and beyond.

See you in court, [@CAgovernor](#).

**AP** The Associated Press 🟢 @AP · Nov 13

BREAKING: The Justice Department sues to block California's new congressional map, joining a fight that could shape control of the House in 2026. [apnews.com/article/califo...](https://apnews.com/article/califo...)

2:30 PM · Nov 13, 2025 · 168.2K Views



198



749

**Tangipa v. Newsom**

**DX266**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX266-0001



## **Exhibit 267**

**Kevin Kiley** ✓

@KevinKileyCA

Document 189-2  
Page ID #:16279

I just read DOJ's complaint challenging Prop. 50 as unconstitutional. Honestly, it's stronger than I expected.

I'm still proceeding on the assumption that the new map will be used next year, but I'll be watching the Dec. 3 court hearing with some interest.

7:16 PM · Nov 16, 2025 · **47.7K** Views**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX267**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX267-0001






## **Exhibit 268A**



↑ Roxanne Hoge reposted  
**Spencer Pratt** ✓  
@spencerpratt

[Subscribe](#)

I bet Newsom's lawyers are feeling the heat in court today. Their defense is now GONE.



1:23

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX268A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

4:27 PM · Nov 25, 2025 · **96.5K** Views

246



3K



13K



482

DX268A-0001

**Exhibit 268B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 269**

**Post****JD Vance** @JDVance · Jul 30

The gerrymander in California is outrageous. Of their 52 congressional districts, 9 of them are Republican. That means 17 percent of their delegation is Republican when Republicans regularly win 40 percent of the vote in that state.


How can this possibly be allowed?

15K

17K

99K

12M

**Roxanne Hoge**   
@RoxanneHoge

It's not even about Republican or Democrat.

It's about corruption.

@GavinNewsom and his party preside over the most corrupt (and inept) governing bodies in the country.

They don't care about people.

They care about their puppeteers.

10:41 AM · Jul 30, 2025 · **2,948** Views

4

35

254

5

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX269**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 270**

[←](#) **Post****Roxanne Hoge** ✓  
@RoxanneHoge

This seems important, not for @CAGOP, but for everyone who believes in a representative republic and who thinks California deserves better.

In other words, everyone but @GavinNewsom and his cronies.

**Jennifer Van Laar** ✓ @jenvanlaar · Aug 11

What if I told you that the man drawing the proposed new CA congressional maps counts almost every single Democrat legislator in the state as a client? Conflict of interest much?

According to multiple Sacramento sources, Paul Mitchell, owner of ...

[Show more](#)



How Democrats Fooled California's Redistricting Commission

The page was posted in early April, just as the commission began taking testimony. Its entries urged county residents to download maps and deliver pre-packaged testimony.

On the surface, the OneSanJoaquin page seemed to be serving Republicans' interests. But Democrats were one move ahead and understood that a united valley would inevitably lead to a Democratic-leaning district. (Republicans apparently did not understand that federal voting rights requirements ruled out their proposed district, since it would have interfered with the Latino district to the south. That misconception was encouraged by the maps on the OneSanJoaquin page, which were drawn to make this look possible.)

In fact, the only way to make a district with "one San Joaquin" was to pull in the Democrats in eastern Contra Costa — the far reaches of San Francisco's Bay-area liberals.

The author of OneSanJoaquin's maps was not identified on the Facebook page, but ProPublica has learned it was Paul Mitchell, a redistricting consultant hired by McNerney.

1:45 AM · Aug 11, 2025 · **14.9K** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX270**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX270-0001

## **Exhibit 271A**



**Roxanne Hoge** ✓

@RoxanneHoge



Confused about the redistricting power grab happening in California?

Join the club. It seems corrupt because it is—we know what a community is & the new congressional maps aren't it.

I spoke to [@ShellyeLeggett](#) of [@SpecNews1SoCal](#) about the attempt by politicians to pick voters.



9:00 PM · Aug 18, 2025 · **13.3K** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX271A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 271B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 272**

← **Post**



**Sayrs Morris** ✓  
@SayrsMorris



And their board members are dropping like flies. Some want nothing to do with the partisan map drawing scheme.



**Rob Pyers** ✓ @rpyers · Aug 20

That this checks the 'public participation' box on California's DCCC-drawn map should put a stake through the heart of any credibility this group may have wanted to hang onto. [x.com/CommonCause/st...](https://x.com/CommonCause/st...)

10:47 PM · Aug 20, 2025 · **206** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom**

**DX272**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX272-0001

## **Exhibit 273**

**Post****Sayrs Morris** ✓  
@SayrsMorris

Hey California! It's time again to remind EVERYONE that WE, the voters, choose our legislators in this state, not legislators choosing their voters. Gavin's redistricting scheme is so anti democratic his own cronies can't even legitimately defend it. The Orwellian doublespeak is unchecked.

**Ashley Zavala** ✓ @ZavalaA · Aug 19

"When I go to a restaurant, I don't need to meet the chef."

Here's how California Assembly Elections Chair Gail Pellerin responded to repeated questions about who is responsible for CA's proposed Congressional maps. ...



9:08 AM · Aug 20, 2025 · 220 Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX273**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 274**



sayrs.morris • Follow



sayrs.morris 9w

Vote NO on Prop 50. #nonewlines  
#imperialvalley #california  
#noonprop50 #November5th  
#November5



kenita.m\_ 9w

Thank you 🙏

Like Reply



tonykrvaric 9w

Thank you for your leadership! 🇺🇸

Like Reply



shina1984 9w

🍌 I will choose NO 🙌

Like Reply



26 likes

September 17

[Log in](#) to like or comment.


Tangipa v. Newsom

DX274

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



## **Exhibit 275**



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX275**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 276**

← Post



**Sayrs Morris** ✓  
@SayrsMorris



If Gavin is a yes, we are DEFINITELY a NO!

**California Republican Party** ✓ @CAGOP · Sep 20

Prop 50 is a bait and switch.  
Prop 47, the so-called “Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act” unleashed record crime and retail theft.  
Prop 50, the “Election Rigging Response Act,” will do exactly that: rig our elections for politicians and special interests....



12:34 PM · Sep 21, 2025 · 160 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX276**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX276-0001

## **Exhibit 277**

← Post



Mike Netter  
@nettermike



Prop 50 is a total betrayal to all California citizens! First, Newsom scraps the 5.7 million votes cast in 2010 to create an independent redistricting commission. A slap in the face to voters. It is like changing the rules of a game because you don't like the outcome. Then he directs the redrawing the lines of Congressional Districts to get rid of Republican representation. He often talks about "threats to democracy" when his own actions are a threat to democracy.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSTION 50!



12:44 AM · Sep 24, 2025 · 15.8K Views

Q Search

Relevant people



Mike Netter  
@nettermike

Follow

Main proponent for the drive to Recall Gavin Newsom California Needs a rebuild Radio & Vodcast Host Be on the air with us  
outraged@rebuildcalifornia.com

What's happening

Trending in United States ...

**Suspect**

32K posts

Trending in United States ...

**#InfoSecVPN**

Politics · Trending ...

**DC Police**

5,435 posts

Politics · Trending ...

**National Guard**

33.6K posts

Show more

Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | Cookie Policy |

Accessibility | Ads info | More ... © 2025 X Corp.

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX277**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 278 – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 279**





Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX279**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 280**

**Roxanne Hoge** ✓

@RoxanneHoge



Thank you [@realjonslavet](#) for helping get out the word about why we should vote No on Prop 50.

It's total corruption.

Absolute power corrupts absolutely.



8:44 PM · Sep 25, 2025 · **8,010** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX280**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 281A**

← Post



**Sayrs Morris** ✓  
@SayrsMorris



Vote No on Prop 50. It's a shameless power grab and takes the power away from the people of California.



0:01 / 1:51



8:44 PM · Sep 28, 2025 · 530 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX281A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX281A-0001

**Exhibit 281B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 282**

[←](#) Post

37 California County Sheriff's oppose Prop 50. You should too.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 50!

#### California Sheriffs Statement on California's Proposition 50 Special Election

*The following statement was issued by 37 current California Sheriffs in opposition to Proposition 50 on September 29, 2025:*

As elected county sheriffs, we know that our system of government depends upon public trust. That trust is built through transparency, fairness, and adherence to the rule of law. Conversely, trust is undermined when public officials take actions designed to enhance their own power at the expense of the people's will. This is why we oppose Proposition 50, the ballot initiative proposing to dismantle the work of the voters' Independent Redistricting Commission.

In a series of statewide elections (Proposition 11 (2008) and Proposition 20 (2010), Californians made clear that they no longer wanted politicians to draw state legislative and congressional district lines. Voters were tired of gerrymandered districts that catered to special interests and the extremes of the political spectrum. The Independent Commission drew district lines following the 2010 and 2020 census. Now, even before a new census has been conducted, Proposition 50 advocates are attempting to push through a new congressional district map drawn by partisan operatives behind closed doors. These proposed districts dilute the voice of substantial portions of our state, put together disparate communities, and undermine the spirit of representative democracy.

Proposition 50 is not an isolated case. It is the latest in a series of actions from Sacramento that have compromised longstanding election practices for political gain.

We saw this when the Legislature passed AB 759 (McCarty), which changed the terms of county sheriffs and district attorneys, forcing many counties to shift their elections from gubernatorial cycles to presidential ones. Supporters claimed this would "promote political equality," but the reality is that it was politically motivated and not based on any practical purpose other than to skew outcomes in future elections.

AB 868 (Carrillo) took it even further. It sought to eliminate nonpartisan protections that have been in place for more than a century. Since 1911, California voters have insisted that offices such as Sheriff, District Attorney, and Superior Court judge remain above partisan politics to preserve impartiality in justice. AB 868 attempted to undermine that history, forcing these races onto partisan cycles and eliminating the ability of candidates to win outright in primaries if they secure a majority. Ultimately, AB 868 was pulled for consideration during this year's legislative cycle.

These bills are part of a troubling pattern: Sacramento politicians cherry-picking offices, moving elections, and eroding local control to engineer different political outcomes. This type of manipulation disenfranchises voters and politicizes institutions that demand neutrality.

Several California counties have adopted voter-approved charters specifically to preserve greater autonomy in how they are governed. These charters reflect the values and priorities of residents, allowing counties to structure their elections, operations, and

5:17 PM · Sep 30, 2025 · 41.8K Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX282**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX282-0001



## **Exhibit 283**



Vern Costa

September 14 · 🌐



# NO

## ON PROP 50


### PROTECT INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING

  19

16 shares

 Like

 Comment

 Share

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX283**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 284**

**Vern Costa**

September 21 · 🌐



**VOTE NO**  
**PROP 50**  
**NO TO NEWSOM**  
**NO TO RIGGED ELECTIONS**



13

2 shares

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX284**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX284-0001

## **Exhibit 285**



Vern Costa

September 14 · 🌐



# NO

## ON PROP 50


### PROTECT INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING

  19

16 shares

 Like

 Comment

 Share

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX285**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 286**



**Tangipa v. Newsom**  
**DX286**  
2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



## **Exhibit 287**



Vern Costa

September 30 · 🌐

San Joaquin Sheriffs Statement on California's Proposition 50 Special

g statement was issued by 37 current California Sheriffs in opposition t  
nber 29, 2025:

county sheriffs, we know that our system of government depends  
just is built through transparency, fairness, and adherence to th  
, trust is undermined when public officials take actions designed  
ower at the expense of the people's will. This is why we oppose  
illicit initiative proposing to dismantle the work of the voters' I  
g Commission.

of statewide elections (Proposition 11 (2008) and Proposition  
s made clear that they no longer wanted politicians to draw stat  
ssional district lines. Voters were tired of gerrymandered districts  
interests and the extremes of the political spectrum. The l  
n draw district lines following the 2010 and 2020 census. Now, er  
s has been conducted, Proposition 50 advocates are attempt  
new congressional district map drawn by partisan operatives be  
se proposed districts dilute the voice of substantial portions of o  
parate communities, and undermine the spirit of representative c

50 is not an isolated case. It is the latest in a series of a  
o that have compromised longstanding election practices for polit

s when the Legislature passed AB 759 (McCarty), which changed  
riffs and district attorneys, forcing many counties to shift their el  
al cycles to presidential ones. Supporters claimed this would "pror  
at the reality is that it was politically motivated and not based on  
ner than to skew outcomes in future elections.

arrillo) took it even further. It sought to eliminate nonpartisan pro  
in place for more than a century. Since 1911, California voters h  
such as Sheriff, District Attorney, and Superior Court judge re  
itics to preserve impartiality in justice. AB 868 attempted to un  
ing these races onto partisan cycles and eliminating the ability o  
ght in primaries if they secure a majority. Ultimately, AB 868 w  
on during this year's legislative cycle.

are part of a troubling pattern: Sacramento politicians cherry-pic  
ctions, and eroding local control to engineer different political out  
nipulation disenfranchises voters and politicizes institutions t

ifornia counties have adopted voter-approved charters specifically  
onomy in how they are governed. These charters reflect the  
f residents, allowing counties to structure their elections, ope

leadership in ways that best serve their communities. That local autonomy should not be  
overridden by one-size-fits-all mandates from Sacramento.

When the state attempts to centralize control through Proposition 50, it is not  
strengthening democracy. It is weakening it. It is not empowering voters. It is undermining  
their decisions.

We must also highlight the \$382.8 million cost of Proposition 50's special election. The  
Department of Finance and the Legislative Analyst's Office have indicated our state faces  
a structural deficit of \$10-20 billion annually.

At the same time, voters last year approved Proposition 36, a citizen-backed initiative  
reforming penalties for theft and serious drug abuse with the goal of getting individuals into  
treatment. Yet the Governor and Legislature have failed to meaningfully fund the  
treatment capacity needed to make this reform work. Those hundreds of millions now  
being spent on a special election could instead be used to build statewide treatment  
infrastructure — honoring both the letter and spirit of Prop 36. This is another example of  
Sacramento politicians disregarding the will of the voters.

California also has a long history of underfunding court services contracts, particularly in  
the state's largest counties. Local sheriff's offices in Orange, Riverside, and San  
Bernardino must each supplement courthouse security by tens of millions of dollars  
annually — costs that should be covered by the state. Instead, local taxpayers are forced  
to shoulder the burden of keeping judges and the courts safe.

Instead of fixing this broken system, Sacramento is spending hundreds of millions on a  
special election designed to consolidate political power. Forfeiting public services and  
shifting state obligations onto counties to pursue partisan goals is an abdication of  
responsibility.

As elected leaders committed to preserving trust in our institutions and fairness in our  
election process, we strongly oppose Proposition 50. We urge voters, local leaders, and  
lawmakers to reject this measure — and to remain vigilant against Sacramento's ongoing  
efforts to politicize nonpartisan offices, undermine local control, and put partisan power  
above the public's will.

Sheriff Wendell Anderson, Yuba County  
Sheriff Brandon Barnes, Sutter County  
Sheriff Dan Barnes, Orange County  
Sheriff Chad Barco, Riverside County  
Sheriff Luke Bingham, Lake County  
Sheriff Mike Bruthaupt, Tulare County  
Sheriff Jeremy Brisse, Mariposa County  
Sheriff Shannon Brown, San Bernardino  
County  
Sheriff Jeff Drake, Stanislaus County  
Sheriff William "Tex" Dowdy, Modoc  
County  
Sheriff Eddie Engstrom, Sonoma County

Sheriff Ron Ferreira, Sileno County  
Sheriff Mike Fisher, Sierra County  
Sheriff Joe Garofalo, Colusa County  
Sheriff Justin Gibbs, Glenn County  
Sheriff Kory Horne, Butte County  
Sheriff William Hordal, Humboldt  
County  
Sheriff Michael Johnson, Shasta County  
Sheriff Ian Parkinson, San Luis Obispo  
County  
Sheriff Tyson Pogue, Madera County  
Sheriff Gary Redman, Amador County  
Sheriff Dave Robinson, Kings County  
Sheriff Tim Saxon, Trinity County  
Sheriff Garrett Scott, Del Norte County  
Sheriff Eric Taylor, San Benito County



David Robinson

September 30 · 🌐

I'm proud to stand with 37 of 58 California Sheriffs. We oppose Prop 50. Please take the time to  
read and share our letter.


Tangipa v. Newsom

DX287

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 288 – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 289**



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX289**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 290**



Vern Costa is in Hanford, CA.

October 3 · 🌐



**Prop 50 Ballots are Being Mailed Out! Return  
Your NO Vote ASAP!**



31



Like



Comment



1 comment 30 shares

Share



Tom Herring

Is there proof of signature anywhere? lol 🤔



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX290**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 291**



**Prop 50 Ballots  
are Being Mailed  
Out! Return Your  
NO Vote ASAP!**



nonrev57 • [Follow](#)



**No comments yet.**

Start the conversation.



4 likes

October 3

[Log in](#) to like or comment.

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX291**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 292**

**sayrs.morris** • Follow

Original audio

**sayrs.morris** 7w

#imperialvalley #noonprop50

#elcentrocalifornia 🌞 2779 W Mail St El Centro. We're here for a couple more hours! 10/4/25

**officialdanielsilvas** 7w

Like Reply

**kenita.m\_** 7w

Like Reply

**jenna\_west** 7w

We just saw you! ❤️ ❤️



27 likes

October 4

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX292**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 293**

Instagram

**sayrs.morris** • Follow

Five Man Electrical Band • Signs

**sayrs.morris** 7w

This is your sigh..... Vote No on Prop 50!  
[#imperialvalley](#) [#noonprop50](#)  
[#californiarepublicans](#) [#elcentrocalifornia](#)  
[#california](#) [#november5th](#)

**hunterforca** 7w

Love this!

[Like](#) [Reply](#)

October 5

[Log in](#) to like or comment.

Tangipa v. Newsom

DX293

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 294**



[←](#) **Post****Sayrs Morris** ✓

@SayrsMorris



Are we fired up yet California? The misinformation around Prop 50 is staggering. We need to get out and speak to every single republican an NPP voter. A yes vote hands the power of the people to shady, partisan politicians. We had 15 years of an independent citizen-led redistricting commission and now Gavin, AOC, and George Soros are running scared because the people have had enough of the disastrous one-party rule in CA. We should be able to choose our congressional representatives, they shouldn't choose their voters. VOTE NO ON PROP 50!

**Corey Uhden** @CACoreyU · Oct 9

Thank you @CAGOP Secretary @SayrsMorris for speaking up for ALL Californians and speaking out against Prop 50

"Prop 50 centralizes more decision-making power in Sacramento," she said, taking it "away from the communities that understand our challenges best." ...

8:39 PM · Oct 9, 2025 · 115 Views



1



3

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX294**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX294-0001

## **Exhibit 295**



← **Post**



**Sayrs Morris** ✓  
@SayrsMorris



FYI California- Soros is a top funder to Gavin's Power Grab Prop 50. These people want chaos and anarchy. Stop rigged elections, Vote NO on Prop 50



**Rep. Anna Paulina Luna** ⚙️ 🇺🇸 @RepLuna · Oct 9

The White House just announced they discovered that over \$100 MILLION in taxpayer funds + laundered Dem donations went to Antifa-linked orgs & "protest networks."

Funders include:...

[Show more](#)



11:01 PM · Oct 9, 2025 · 76 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX295**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX295-0001

## **Exhibit 296**

Vern Costa is in Hanford, CA.  
October 12 · 🌐

# GOVERNOR NEWSOM'S POWER GRAB

## IT IS A PARTISAN GERRYMANDER

*-Sacramento Democrats*

### WHY YOU SHOULD VOTE NO ON 50

- ✗ Flips the Valley from RED to BLUE
- ✗ Eliminates the CA Independent Redistricting Commission
- ✗ Delivers the House of Representatives to Democrats
- ✗ Strips Kings County voter of their voice



👍👎 7

3 share

Tangipa v. Newsom

DX296

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 297**

Vern Costa  
October 12 · 🌐

## SHERIFFS ACROSS CALIFORNIA OPPOSE PROP 50

<b>Amador County Sheriff</b> Gary Redman	<b>Imperial County Sheriff</b> Fred Miramontes	<b>San Bernardino Co. Sheriff</b> Shannon Dicus
<b>Butte County Sheriff</b> Kory Honea	<b>Kern County Sheriff</b> Donny Youngblood	<b>San Luis Obispo Co. Sheriff</b> Ian Parkinson
<b>Calaveras County Sheriff</b> Rachelle Whiting	<b>Kings County Sheriff</b> Dave Robinson	<b>Shasta County Sheriff</b> Michael Johnson
<b>Colusa County Sheriff</b> Joe Garofalo	<b>Lake County Sheriff</b> Luke Bingham	<b>Sierra County Sheriff</b> Mike Fisher
<b>Del Norte County Sheriff</b> Garrett Scott	<b>Lassen County Sheriff</b> John McGarva	<b>Siskiyou County Sheriff</b> Jeremiah LaRue
<b>El Dorado County Sheriff</b> Jeff Leikauf	<b>Madera County Sheriff</b> Tyson Pogue	<b>Solano County Sheriff</b> Tom Ferrara
<b>Fresno County Sheriff</b> John Zanoni	<b>Mariposa County Sheriff</b> Jeremy Briese	<b>Sonoma County Sheriff</b> Eddie Engram
<b>Glenn County Sheriff</b> Justin Gibbs	<b>Mendocino County Sheriff</b> Matt Kendall	<b>Stanislaus County Sheriff</b> Jeff Dirks
<b>Humboldt County Sheriff</b> William Honsal	<b>Merced County Sheriff</b> Vern Warnke	<b>Sutter County Sheriff</b> Brandon Barnes
	<b>Modoc County Sheriff</b> William "Tex" Dowdy	<b>Trinity County Sheriff</b> Tim Saxon
	<b>Orange County Sheriff</b> Don Barnes	<b>Tulare County Sheriff</b> Mike Boudreaux
	<b>Placer County Sheriff</b> Wayne Woo	<b>Tuolumne County Sheriff</b> David Vasquez
	<b>Riverside County Sheriff</b> Chad Bianco	<b>Yolo County Sheriff</b> Tom Lopez
	<b>San Benito County Sheriff</b> Eric Taylor	<b>Yuba County Sheriff</b> Wendell Anderson

**NO** ON PROP **50**  
STOP RIGGED ELECTIONS NOW

[CAGOP.ORG/PROP50](https://CAGOP.ORG/PROP50)

California Republican Party · 🌐  
October 10 · 🌐

Sheriffs across California see Prop 50 for what it is, a corrupt power grab.  
It silences voters and rigs elections to protect politicians.  
Stand with law enforcement. Vote NO on Prop 50.

4 · Like · Comment · Share

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX297**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 298**



# GOVERNOR NEWSOM'S POWER GRAB

## IT IS A PARTISAN GERRYMANDER

-Sacramento Democrats

### WHY YOU SHOULD VOTE NO ON 50

- ✗ Flips the Valley from RED to BLUE
- ✗ Eliminates the CA Independent Redistricting Commission
- ✗ Delivers the House of Representatives to Democrats
- ✗ Strips Kings County voter of their voice




nonrev57 • Follow

...



**No comments yet.**

Start the conversation.



5 likes

October 12

[Log in](#) to like or comment.

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX298**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 299A**



← Post



**Roxanne Hoge** ✓

@RoxanneHoge



Barack Obama, like everyone who *hasn't* had to live under the one-party rule that has made life in California dirtier, less safe & more corrupt, has thoughts about Prop 50.

Well, I have thoughts about [@BarackObama](#).

And I live here.

Please Vote No on Prop 50. Now.



10:41 AM · Oct 15, 2025 · **33.9K** Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX299A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 299B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the  
Court electronically**

## **Exhibit 300**

**Vern Costa**

October 17 · 🌐

**Patricia Matthews**

October 16 · 🌐

### My Unsolicited Thoughts on Prop 50

The only way to take power away from the people in redistricting is for it to be passed by the voters themselves. So, how do you convince a people who have twice voted to keep the Legislature out of the map-drawing business? You shift the narrative to something else.

When the focus stays on Newsom and Trump, some voters are quick to default to party lines. But if you take a minute to look deeper at what Prop 50 truly means for us on a county and city level you start to see the real effects.

Take Avenal, for example. Under this proposal, the city could be redistricted with San Jose. What does Avenal have in common with the Bay Area? Are 2,000 voters enough to have a meaningful voice on a federal level? Will that representative understand their values and way of life, which differ greatly from big-city living?

Avenal isn't alone. Visalia could be split in two. Clovis and Lodi could find themselves divided into three separate districts. The County of San Joaquin might be carved into five. Those are just a few examples. What does that mean for federal funding and representation? When your city or county's voice is fractured, your influence in Washington shrinks right along with it.

I hope voters can look beyond the noise and see the bigger picture of what affects us most, right here at home. Don't get distracted by the polarizing figureheads in the TV ads. Take both of them out of the equation, and I don't think any reasonable voter would support a measure that lets politicians pick their voters instead of the other way around.

And to those who say, "But Texas..." I don't live or vote in Texas. Different states have different laws and constitutions. They open carry in Texas. Are we going to "but Texas" that part, too? It's a slippery slope when we start voting to give more power to big government just to counter what another state does. Maybe voters in Texas aren't being disenfranchised by redistricting the way Californians are. Either way, that's their responsibility to address, not ours.

Just my two cents and perhaps a different perspective. It's a NO on Prop 50 for me.



3

2 shares



Like



Comment

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX300**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 301A**

← Post



**Roxanne Hoge** ✓

@RoxanneHoge



Barack Obama, like everyone who *hasn't* had to live under the one-party rule that has made life in California dirtier, less safe & more corrupt, has thoughts about Prop 50.

Well, I have thoughts about [@BarackObama](#).

And I live here.

Please Vote No on Prop 50. Now.



10:41 AM · Oct 15, 2025 · **33.9K** Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX301A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 301B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the Court electronically.**

## **Exhibit 302A**





Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX302A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 302B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the Court electronically.**

## **Exhibit 303**

# They All Oppose **PROP 50!**

**37** CA Elected Sheriffs

**30** CA Elected District Attorneys

**14** CA Regional Newspapers

Growing Number of CA Counties,  
Cities, School Boards, and Local  
Chambers of Commerce

## **NO on PROP 50**

— **#NoNewLines** —



The Republican Party of Riverside County-Official  
October 19 · 🌐

The countdown to Election Day has begun, with 16 days remaining. To safeguard our democracy, everyone's participation is vital. This includes walking precincts, texting, and phone banking to ensure the defeat of Prop 50. Let's do this! [www.Riversidecountygop.com](http://www.Riversidecountygop.com)

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX303**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 304**



Vern Costa

October 20 · 🌐



**CA Prop 50: early  
returns have  
Democrat YES  
votes leading NO  
votes by 85,000. 5  
Million Republicans  
have yet to VOTE!**

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX304**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

RebuildCalifornia.com - Home Page Public Forum · [Join](#)Robin Em Mc · [Admin](#) · October 20 · 🌐

DX304-0001

## **Exhibit 305**



[←](#) Post

No on Prop 50



**PROPOSITION 50**  
**NOTHING IS GAINED, BUT MUCH IS LOST.**  
✗ Brings Gerrymandering Back to California. ✗ Reverses Our Landmark Political Reform.

★ IMPORTANT VOTER INFORMATION ★

**“Democracy.  
We’ve got to fight for it.**

What Texas is doing is wrong, but California doing the same thing in reverse isn't the answer.

Californians are leaders in political reform. Our best defense to destructive politics is real transparency, accountability and competition so the best ideas will prevail.

We must protect California's political reforms and show the nation how democracy is done.

**Vote NO on Prop 50.”**

~ Arnold Schwarzenegger  
38th governor of California

11:50 PM · Oct 20, 2025 · 1,194 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX305**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX305-0001



## **Exhibit 306A**

← Post

Reply

**Roxanne Hoge**   
@RoxanneHoge

Is it just a coincidence that as minorities take a step to the right,  
[@CA\\_Dem](#) wants to dilute their power with Prop 50?

Vote NO on 50 NOW.

[@CAGOP](#) [@GOPLosAngeles](#) [@Schwarzenegger](#) [@AsmRepublicans](#)  
[@KevinKileyCA](#) [@suzettemartinez](#)



From **talkingdowney**

12:10 PM · Oct 29, 2025 · **8,701** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX306A**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

**Exhibit 306B – multimedia exhibit submitted to the Court electronically.**

## **Exhibit 307**

Vern Costa  
October 30 at 10:02 AM · 🌐**California Republican Party** ✓

Paid for by California Republican Party · 🌐

Prop 50 costs taxpayers \$300 million and rigs elections in Sacramento's favor.  
Vote No and find your ballot drop location.

**VOTE NO ON  
PROP 50:**

**GAVIN NEWSOM'S  
\$300 MILLION  
POLITICAL  
POWER GRAB  
TO HELP DEMOCRATS  
RETAKE CONGRESS AND  
IMPEACH TRUMP**

 **RETURN YOUR MAIL  
BALLOT TODAY!**

Ringo Chiu/Shutterstock

**SPECIAL ELECTION: NOVEMBER 4, 2025****PAID FOR BY CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY**

VOTE.CAGOP.ORG

Protect fair representation

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX307**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 308**



**Roxanne Hoge** ✓

@RoxanneHoge



Let's go, @GOPLosAngeles!

People are so happy to hear from a fellow common sense Republican.

You are not alone!

Wherever you are today, make a plan to Vote NO NOW on Prop 50!



Senator Suzette Valladares

2:43 PM · Nov 1, 2025 · 27.7K Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX308**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 309**



← Post



**Roxanne Hoge** ✓  
@RoxanneHoge



Fellow Republicans, listen to my friend @RealKiraDavis!

You're an American, so fight.

Vote no on Prop 50!



**Kira** ✓ @Kiradavis · Nov 3

Vote like an American, California. Vote NO ON 50



11:04 PM · Nov 3, 2025 · 8,315 Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX309**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 310**



November 3 at 8:39 AM · 🌐

**Mark P. Meuser** ✓

November 2 at 3:01 PM · 🌐

Proposition 50 has brought national attention to the damage that occurs when politicians use redistricting to silence their opponents. When those in power can draw lines to protect themselves, voters lose the power to choose their representatives.

The Founders saw this problem coming. They had watched it unfold in England, where Parliament refused to update its districts for centuries. Some "rotten boroughs" had only a handful of voters, yet still sent two members to Parliament. Seats could literally be bought.

That corruption taught America an important lesson: when representation grows stale, money and power fill the void. The people lose their voice, and self-government becomes government by insiders.

To prevent that decay, the U.S. Constitution requires that representation be recalculated every ten years "according to their respective Numbers." Redistricting isn't a partisan exercise; it's a constitutional safeguard to keep representation tied to people, not politicians.

The Supreme Court put it plainly in *Reynolds v. Sims*: "Legislators represent people, not trees or acres." And in *Wesberry v. Sanders*, the Court reminded us that congressional districts must ensure that one person's vote is worth as much as another's.

But California's Prop 50 map repeats the very mistake our Founders warned against. By carving out competitive communities and protecting incumbents, it gives disproportionate influence to monied interests and party insiders while leaving ordinary voters with less say.

The result is fewer competitive races, higher campaign costs, and districts so safe that accountability disappears. That is how rotten boroughs were born in England and it is how modern political machines keep power today.

It has been over 100 years since Congress last expanded the House of Representatives. In 1911, when the number was set at 435, each Member represented about 212,000 people. Today, each represents nearly 760,000. Bigger districts mean fewer voices heard and campaigns that only the well-funded can afford.

Expanding Congress would shrink districts, lower the cost of campaigning, and restore the connection between representatives and their constituents. It would also reduce the incentive for state politicians to gerrymander because they would have to represent smaller, more coherent communities.

Proposition 50 is not just about lines on a map. It is about who has power: the people or the politicians. The Founders built redistricting into the Constitution to stop government from becoming the property of the few. It is up to us to defend that principle.

If you value your vote, your voice, and your community, vote NO on Proposition 50. Do not let California repeat the mistakes of Parliament. Power belongs with the people. 🇺🇸



5



Like




Comment

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX310**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX310-0001

## **Exhibit 311**



Stop this lie and pushing misinformation. Texas was ordered to redistrict by a federal judge under Biden. Texas didn't have a state constitution that bans redistricting by politicians. California had no mandate and violated the state constitution.

12:05 PM · Nov 5, 2025 · **259** Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX311**


2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 312**






**Mark Meuser**

@MarkMeuser



 Today I filed a federal lawsuit challenging California's Proposition 50 map as an **unconstitutional racial gerrymander**.

The Legislature used race, not law or evidence, to redraw our congressional districts.

  Let me explain the lawsuit   

9:20 PM • Nov 5, 2025 • **48.2K** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX312**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX312-0001

## **Exhibit 313**



616-JLS-WLH-KKL



11 Roxanne Hoge reposted

Richard Grenell

@RichardGrenell

Document 189-2

Page ID #:16376

Tangpa v. Newsom  
Filed 12/19/25

**DX313**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

40% of California voters are Republicans.

We don't have 40% representation in Congress because the one party ruling the state and the media are corrupt.

We must register more voters because only half of our state is even registered to vote and an even smaller number are actually voting.

7:24 AM · Nov 5, 2025 · **127.4K** Views

DX313-0001

## **Exhibit 314**



Roxanne Hoge  
@RoxanneHoge



Not gonna lie. It's hard to hear Californians say our state is on the wrong track and then watch them vote for more of the same. We aren't called La La Land for nothing! 🙄

Quotes from a [@Bob\\_Hoge\\_CA](#) story.

## Reveals Headspinning Contradictions – but They're Clear About Gavin Newsom

By Bob Hoge [Follow](#) | 3:11 PM on November 05, 2025

The opinions expressed by contributors are their own and do not necessarily represent the views of RedState.com.



Here's where it gets weird, though, even for Cali: only 17 percent thought that the Prop. 50 ensured the best way to draw congressional district lines. Eighty-two percent voted against their conscience just to stop Trump. Imagine living your life that way – only too willing to betray your principles in order to harm someone else.

But remember, I said it got weird, so here you go: 92 percent of voters thought that a non-partisan commission was the best way to redraw districts. *You mean the one you just voted out?!*

Even I, as a resident of this nuthouse, have to admit that these people are downright freaky. Maybe the drug-induced heyday of [Haight](#).

1:34 PM · Nov 5, 2025 · 19.1K Views

Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX314**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 315**

[←](#) **Post****Roxanne Hoge** ✓  
@RoxanneHoge

I'm proud of every Republican, conservative, independent & classic liberal who worked so hard to fight the Prop 50. We will learn a lot from this experience, & as [@CAGOP](#) [@CorrinRankin](#) says, we will continue to fight for California to have real representation of all her citizens.



2:26 AM · Nov 5, 2025 · **11.4K** Views

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX315**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 316**



**Vern Costa** is in Hanford, CA.

November 6 at 8:05 AM · 🌐

...

Proud to be a plaintiff in the lawsuit filed yesterday against Gavin Newsom. Standing up for our communities and our values.



**ABC30 Action News** ✓

November 5 at 9:00 PM · 🌐

The results of the highly anticipated special election on Proposition 50 have Democrats feeling relieved, while state Republicans launch a lawsuit.



ABC30.COM

**California Democrats celebrate Prop 50 victory as GOP launches legal challenge**

**Tangipa v. Newsom**

**DX316**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX316-0001

## **Exhibit 317**





- **SagiGirl** @SagiGirl1962 · Nov 8  
Wow. Sounds like the federal lawsuit has some legs. What's really strange is that CA has a ton...majority?, not sure...of Latino legislators. There's no rationale for believing Latinos "don't have a voice". Latinos are going Red, now they REALLY don't have a voice.  
 1 25
- **windowsxpsucks** @windowsxpsucks · Nov 8  
Try showing up at the DMV as a white in LA area CA. Back of every line and none of your documents are acceptable.  
 1 32
- **Giant Toad** @GiantToad42 · Nov 8  
Yup. Can't redistrict based on race.  
  
Do we need ANY MORE evidence that Democrats have always been and still are - RACIST.  
 32
- **KitKat** @Cicero68Steve · Nov 8  
Political and racial apartheid has come to California.  
 2 30

-  Chat
-  Grok
-  Bookmarks



## **Exhibit 318**

Roxanne Hoge reposted

**Pete Peterson**  @Pete4CA · Nov 9

Basically admitting we've seen the last of Citizens' Redistricting in CA... even tho it's the most popular political reform with actual Californians.

**Aaron Rupar**  @atrupar · Nov 9

Newsom: "I support independent redistricting, but you can't do it state by state with one arm tied behind your back. You can't unilaterally disarm as other people are not playing by the rules. Donald Trump and Republican governors across the country -- they're not screwing

[Show more](#)

7



10



34



5.9K



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX318**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 319**



Roxanne Hoge reposted

Houman David Hemmati, MD, PhD

Nov 10

Instead of cutting California in two (and further screwing non-leftists in coastal areas) how about we keep California whole and STOP ELECTING destructive, self-serving, corrupt lunatics?

Just a thought.

Imagine a California without @GavinNewsom & @Scott\_Wiener.



Tangipa v. Newsom

**DX319**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

SFGATE

**Calls for cutting California in  
two emerge again following  
Prop. 50's passage**

579

729

3.5K

48K

DX319-0001

## **Exhibit 320**



**Vern Costa**

November 13 at 4:37 PM · 🌐

**David Tangipa** ✓  
@DavidTangipa**X.com**

🚨 **BREAKING:** The DOJ has joined our lawsuit against Gov. Newsom!

We promised to fight this partisan gerrymandering every step of the way and now the DOJ is standing with us to stop this power grab.



Justice Department sues to block California US House ma...

From kcra.com

**David J Tangipa** ✓ is in California.

November 13 at 3:16 PM · 🌐

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX320**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL



## **Exhibit 321**

**Vern Costa**

November 14 at 8:24 PM · 🌐

**Mark P. Meuser** ✓

November 14 at 8:09 PM · 🌐

**Prop 50 BREAKING NEWS** 🔴

The hearing on our motion to prevent the use of Prop 50 maps in the 2026 elections is scheduled for Dec. 3. We ask the Court to reject the racially gerrymandered Prop 50 map and use the Redistricting Commission map for the 2026 election.

Stay tuned.



1



Like



Comment



Share

**Tangipa v. Newsom****DX321**

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

## **Exhibit 322**

[←](#) PostReply  Search**Mike Netter**  
@nettermike

The cost of Prop 50 was \$300 million — He was warned we didn't have the funds. They put partisan gain over fiscal responsibility and now California is paying the price.

When cuts come, remember: "what about Texas" mattered more to them than Californians. Governor Newsom and the Legislature misled the people while driving our state toward insolvency.

Other states are posting surpluses while California sunk into deficits under both democrat and republican leadership. Argue with the facts.



10:52 PM · Nov 19, 2025 · 3,354 Views

## Relevant people

**Mike Netter**  
@nettermike

Follow

Main proponent for the drive to Recall Gavin Newsom California Needs a rebuild Radio & Vodcast Host Be on the air with us  
outraged@rebuildcalifornia.com

## What's happening

Only on X · Trending

#wednesdaymotivation

7,020 posts

Politics · Trending

Two National Guard

2,741 posts

Food · Trending

Butter

45.3K posts

Politics · Trending

National Guardsmen

[Show more](#)[Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Cookie Policy](#) |  
[Accessibility](#) | [Ads info](#) | [More...](#) © 2025 X Corp.

Tangipa v. Newsom

DX322

2:25-cv-10616-JLSWLH-KKL

DX322-0001